

19 23

G. N. 12

Department of the Bd of Ex

OFFICE _____ *LA & belt*
STATION _____ *Chapank*

<i>mis</i>	No. <i>2598</i> <i>2698</i>
Dated	<i>7.12.23</i>

To whom sent

Pages in the file

	Date	Initials
Given for copying		
Copied		
Examined		
Signed		
Given for despatch		
Despatched		
Indexed		
To be destroyed on		

(SUBJECT.)

Department (S. K. Owen) - Department
Other question papers - Department

Copy of a letter from the Collector of South Kanara, to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Revenue Department, through the Secretary, Board of Revenue, Land Revenue and Settlement Ref. C. No. 4295-23-V-7, dated the 7th November 1923.

(C) Ref:- G.O. No. Mis. 1577, Rev., dated 22-10-1923 communicated in B.P. No. 2396 Mis., dated 26-10-1923.

Paragraph 2 of the G.O. referred to above sanctions - remission of assessment in respect of mogaru lands which have lost their only crop owing to floods, or have been rendered totally unfit for any kind of cultivation during the fasli provided the area so rendered unfit is not less than one acre or one entire sub-division.

2. Mogaru lands come under II class wet but not all II class wet lands are mogaru lands. Some II class wet lands are registered as double crop and assessed at Rs. 6-4-0 per acre, but many are registered as single crop and assessed at Rs. 4 or 5 per acre. There are many cases of II class wet lands registered as single crop lands on which the crop was completely destroyed by the floods. On some of them it is possible by baling to raise a - second paddy crop, but the latter depends entirely on the sufficiency of the water supply and is precarious. For purposes of assessment at Settlement those lands have been treated as one crop lands and it seems to me it would not be fair to deny the owners remission of assessment merely on account of their having by their own - industry raised a second crop ^{on} single crop land. Again, in some cases, where there is no sufficiency of water for a paddy crop, some dry crop like horse-gram or sweet potato is raised after the first crop is harvested. All that the ryot does is to sow the seed and leave the rest to nature. His object to get something out of the land if possible instead of letting it lie idle. This dry crop cannot be considered a second crop in the sense in which it is - understood in the Settlement notification.

3. I request orders, therefore, whether ~~that~~ in the case of II class wet lands registered as single crop land on which the principal (first) crop has been lost owing to the floods, remission may not be given as in the case of mogaru lands, irrespective

of whether a second crop (of paddy or pulses) is grown or not.

4. I request also a reconsideration of the phrase "any kind of cultivation" occurring in clause iii of paragraph 2 of the Government Order. The registration of the land as wet is mainly determined by its capacity to grow paddy, and it seems to me that land registered as single crop should be entitled to remission if the yenei (1st season) crop has been destroyed by the floods whether a second paddy crop is raised or not, because the Government looks for the paddy crop the appropriate season for which is the first crop season. Even if a second paddy crop is raised, it will be an out of season crop due to the industry and energy of the cultivator, and will not be nearly as good as the crop of the first season.

5. Further as regards double crop lands. The first season paddy crop may be lost by sanding, silting, etc. It may not be possible to raise a second paddy crop but some dry crop may be tried, which is a kind of cultivation, and the phrase "any kind of cultivation" rules out such land for remission.

I suggest that "paddy cultivation" be substituted for "any kind of cultivation".

6. II class wet lands registered as double crop land may be classed under sub-section (1) of paragraph 2 of the Government Order.

(Office copy)

K.C.K. 16/11.

huss
16/11/23

3

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

C.B. 20-1,201,481-17-12-21.

Office.

[Signature]

Enclosures.

Despatch No.

When given to fair-copying

Fair-copied by

Fair copy compared by

When despatched

Register No. *H 3268*, dated

From *The Court malabar*

Subject.

Assessment - Remissions.
SKanara dt - Floods -
Further Concessions -

To

THE

12.35
10/16/21

[Handwritten mark]

[Handwritten mark]

R. 16. 12. 23.

Refce H 3268/23-18/16-23

Subd to Govt.

2/. In the case of II class
single crop land on which a
2nd crop of paddy is raised
the land is not ordinarily
eligible for remission and at
the most only remission of half
the ~~difference between the~~ *assessment*
striking
would seem to be justified

Similarly in the case of land,
~~comprising under clause IV of~~
~~the notification of 22-2-23, on~~

(C)

on which a second dry crop is grown
only the remission of the difference

4

between the wet & dry assessments
~~would have been presented even in dts~~
~~ordinarily be admitted~~

where remission is regularly given

~~Colin and therefore there will~~

~~be little real justification for~~

~~any of these further concessions~~

In view however of the ~~unusual~~ ~~unprecedented~~ ^{unusual}

Nature of the Calamity & in view of

the fact that the ~~former~~ ^{former} concessions

will not cost much the Bd recommends

the Colin proposals for the sanction

of Govt. They will be appreciated by the

people and are ~~also~~ ^{also} not likely to

form an awkward precedent

(forwarded)

lu
 16/11

18
 5/11/23
 2
 15.11.23

To the Secy Govt. - Ad
 with Mr. Colin letter
 in original

Wp-1-3 cf.

-Pl-Keep copy
 15/11

desd
 16/11



Received

192

Registered

H 3540

192

Abstract.

Assessment (South Kanara) - Remissions - Collector's further proposals negatived. *Excomm*

m/s (after issue)

H 3540

A

Res(mis) 2698

4 7/12/23

Comm. to the Commg S Kanara with reference to letter R.C no 4295/23-V-7

11-12-23

To the Commg Kanara. pp 1-2

4 7.11.23.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. Mis.1794

, dated 6th December 1923.

READ the following papers :-

ENCLOSURES.

No.

pp 3-4

Supra.

(C)

G.O.No.1577 Mis. dated 22nd October 1923.

From the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue & Settlement, Ref.No.H.3268-23-1, dated 16th November 1923.

ORDER:-

The Government consider that the concessions - already granted are ample and that any further concessions, if granted, will lead to trouble in future and form an inconvenient precedent. They are therefore unable to sanction the Collector's proposals.

(By order of the Governor in Council)

E.W. Leigh,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue & Settlement.

Forwarded to the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue & Settlement.

(By Order)

Wakrmanachan

S.C. 6/12.

Superintendent.

assessment - Remissions - Hada
S. Kanara - Floods - Further concessions
H 3268. from the Colr

my
S
(1)

To Secy

The Gout order d. 22.10.23 to which Mr Wells takes exception is based wholly on the recommendations made by him in his letter d. 10.9.23. The specific addl recommendations now made are dealt with below

Para 3. Remission may be recommended if no net crop is raised by below

Para 5 May be recommended - It is reasonable.

Para 6. May be recommended.
For orders

18
14.11.23

2
14.11.23

As to II class (double crop land) I don't see that there is any case for remission when a 2nd crop of paddy is raised, merely because it is not as good as the 1st. After all the minimum sanction is for 1/2 area & certainly if the land is not ordinarily eligible for remission. At the most only 1/2 remission could seem like justified.

As regards lands which grow a second dry crop the Colr. proposes to treat them

distinct when remission is regularly
given but minor delay would be
the nature of remission

On the whole I think there is little
real justification for any of their
further concessions. Govt, however, may
desire (even after the elections) to
make a show of bounty & if so then
concessions would probably cost little
& not matter very much

hr
15.11.23

I agree but
they won't cost
much & will
probably be
appreciated
and are not likely
to form an anti-
ward precedent,

To Secy

Draft dated below

16
15.11.23

2
15.11.23

hr
16.11

JS

we may recom-
mend them

Mr
15.11.23 hr

Issued as Bds ref no H 3268/23-1
d. 22.10.23
H 3540 from Govt.

pp 3-4 of
M2 (afternoon)
psec
I

To Secy

Govt have refused the concessions

Draft at psec

16
7.12.23
7.12.23

hr
7.12.23